



Sustainable Development: Toward Net Zero and Carbon Neutrality

Debraj Bhattacharyya*

Department of Civil Engineering, Department of Climate Change, and Greenko School of Sustainability, Indian Institute of Technology Hyderabad, Kandi – 502284, Sangareddy, Telangana, India

Malinee Sririyanun and Atthasit Tawai

Biorefinery and Process Automation Engineering Center, Department of Chemical Engineering and Management, The Sirindhorn Thai-German International Graduate School of Engineering, King Mongkut's University of Technology North Bangkok, Thailand

* Corresponding author. E-mail: debrajb@ce.iith.ac.in

DOI: 10.14416/j.asep.2025.01.005

© 2025 King Mongkut's University of Technology North Bangkok. All Rights Reserved.

In the face of escalating climate change, two interconnected concepts have emerged as critical strategies for environmental sustainability: carbon neutrality and net zero emissions. These are not mere buzzwords; they represent our lifeline to a sustainable future. While these terms are often used interchangeably, they represent distinct approaches with varying scopes and implementation methods, both aimed at addressing the urgent challenge of climate change.

Carbon neutrality, also known as “net zero carbon emissions,” focuses on achieving a balance between carbon dioxide emissions and removal from the atmosphere. This balance is achieved through a combination of emission reduction efforts and carbon offsetting mechanisms [1]. In contrast, net zero encompasses a broader scope, aiming to balance all greenhouse gas emissions, including methane, nitrous oxide, and fluorinated gases, with their removal from the atmosphere. When these emissions are converted to carbon dioxide equivalent (CO₂e), the total emissions released must equal the emissions removed [2]–[4].

The evolution of these concepts is deeply rooted in the scientific understanding of climate change that began crystallizing in the 1970s and 1980s. A pivotal moment came in 1988 with the establishment of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC), which formalized the scientific community's growing concern about emissions and their impact on global climate systems. The 1992 Earth Summit in Rio de Janeiro marked another significant milestone with the adoption of the United Nations Framework Convention

on Climate Change (UNFCCC), establishing the first coordinated international framework for addressing climate change [5], [6]. The Kyoto Protocol in 1997 introduced binding emission reduction targets for developed nations and established innovative mechanisms like carbon trading and the Clean Development Mechanism (CDM). These tools transformed theoretical concepts into actionable strategies [7]. The early 2000s saw the corporate world embracing carbon neutrality, with companies voluntarily adopting carbon reduction goals and the establishment of organizations like the Carbon Trust, which developed and implemented standards (PAS 2050) for measuring and certifying carbon footprints [8]–[10]. The 2015 Paris Agreement marked a watershed moment, particularly for net zero, as it explicitly incorporated the concept of achieving a balance between anthropogenic emissions and removals in the second half of the century. This agreement provided the political and policy framework that would catalyze the widespread adoption of more ambitious climate targets [11]. The IPCC's 2018 Special Report on Global Warming of 1.5 °C further emphasized the urgency of achieving these goals, establishing 2050 as a critical deadline for reaching net zero emissions globally [4].

Currently, both concepts have gained unprecedented momentum. Major economies have embedded net zero targets into legislation, with the European Union, United Kingdom, Japan, and South Korea committing to achieve net zero by 2050, while China has set its target for 2060. India pledged to achieve net zero emissions by 2070. The corporate landscape has witnessed a remarkable surge in commitments, with



organizations across sectors pledging to eliminate their greenhouse gas emissions.

The journey toward net zero and carbon neutrality demands a strategic and transformative approach beginning with a comprehensive emissions assessment across all three scopes. Organizations must first measure Scope 1 emissions from their direct operations, including facility emissions, company vehicles, and on-site fuel combustion. Simultaneously, they must evaluate Scope 2 emissions from purchased electricity, steam, heating, and cooling. The most challenging aspect involves mapping Scope 3 emissions, which encompass the entire value chain, from purchased goods and services to the end-use of products. After establishing this baseline, organizations develop science-based targets aligned with the Paris Agreement's 1.5 °C goal, creating both immediate and long-term objectives. Implementation follows a strategic hierarchy: initially focusing on reducing Scope 1 emissions through operational efficiency improvements and technology upgrades, then addressing Scope 2 emissions through renewable energy procurement and power purchase agreements. The most complex phase involves tackling Scope 3 emissions through supplier engagement, product redesign, and value chain optimization. For residual emissions, organizations must invest in verified carbon removal projects, with net zero requiring permanent removal solutions rather than traditional offsetting. Throughout this journey, robust monitoring and verification systems ensure accountability and drive continuous improvement [12], [13].

The economic transformation required for achieving net zero and carbon neutrality encompasses both significant challenges and unprecedented opportunities. The International Energy Agency (IEA) estimates that annual clean energy investment must increase from current levels to around \$4 trillion by 2030 to achieve net zero by 2050. This massive financial commitment involves investments in renewable energy infrastructure, energy efficiency improvements, transport system electrification, and industrial process modifications [14]. However, this transition is creating substantial market opportunities, particularly in emerging sectors like renewable energy technologies, electric vehicles, energy storage solutions, and carbon capture technologies. The shift is generating significant employment opportunities, with the International Labour Organization projecting millions of new jobs in sustainable sectors, though this transition requires extensive workforce reskilling and upskilling programs [15]–[17]. Organizations implementing

these changes often realize long-term cost savings through improved operational efficiency and reduced resource consumption. The transition is also reshaping global trade patterns through carbon border adjustment mechanisms and creating new competitive dynamics where companies with strong environmental credentials gain market advantages. Financial markets are evolving, with sustainability-linked financing becoming mainstream and companies with high carbon footprints facing increased borrowing costs, while sustainable businesses enjoy preferential financing terms [18], [19].

The path to net zero and carbon neutrality faces complex challenges requiring multifaceted solutions. Organizations encounter significant technical hurdles in accurately measuring and reducing emissions across their value chains, particularly challenging for net zero's comprehensive scope covering all greenhouse gases. This technical complexity is intensified in hard-to-abate sectors like heavy industry, aviation, and agriculture, where viable emission elimination solutions are still emerging [20]. Financial barriers present another major challenge, with organizations, especially in developing economies, struggling to access the substantial capital needed for technological transitions and infrastructure development. The challenge of managing Scope 3 emissions is particularly daunting, as these often represent the largest portion of an organization's carbon footprint but are the hardest to influence since they lie outside direct operational control. To address these challenges, organizations are implementing innovative solutions: developing advanced monitoring technologies using artificial intelligence and IoT sensors; exploring innovative financing mechanisms like green bonds and sustainability-linked loans; establishing comprehensive supplier engagement programs; and participating in industry collaborations to share knowledge and resources. Policy frameworks are evolving to support these efforts, with increasing harmonization of standards and reporting requirements across jurisdictions, though more coordinated global action is needed [14], [21]–[23].

The implementation of net zero and carbon neutrality has gained unprecedented momentum globally, though progress varies significantly across regions and sectors. According to the Net Zero Tracker (2023), over 90% of global GDP is now covered by net zero pledges, with 148 countries having made commitments. The European Union leads with its ambitious Green Deal [24], targeting carbon neutrality by 2050, while China has pledged to

achieve carbon neutrality by 2060. In the corporate sector, more than 14,000 non-state actors worldwide have joined the UN's Race to Zero campaign, committing to science-based net zero targets [25]. The financial sector has shown significant engagement, with the Glasgow Financial Alliance for Net Zero reporting over 675 financial institutions, representing 40% of global private financial assets, committing to net zero goals [26]. Implementation progress varies substantially by sector. The power sector is leading the transition, with renewable energy becoming increasingly cost-competitive and circular economy [27]–[29]. However, heavy industry (cement, steel, chemicals, plastic), aviation, mining, and shipping sectors face significant technical and economic barriers to decarbonization [2], [30], [31]. Reports indicate that while corporate commitments are growing, many organizations struggle with Scope 3 emissions measurement and reduction [32].

Looking forward, success requires sustained commitment, innovation, and collaboration across all sectors of society. Organizations must continuously evaluate new technologies and approaches, update their strategies based on performance data, and adapt to changing regulations and stakeholder expectations. The focus is increasingly shifting toward ensuring that commitments translate into meaningful action and verifiable results.

The journey toward carbon neutrality and net zero represents not just an environmental imperative but a fundamental transformation of our economic and social systems. While carbon neutrality often serves as an intermediate step, net zero emerges as the ultimate goal necessary for addressing climate change comprehensively. This transformation, while challenging, offers opportunities to build a more sustainable and resilient future for generations to come.

References

- [1] L. Chen, G. Msigwa, M. Yang, A. I. Osman, S. Fawzy, and D. W. Rooney, "Strategies to achieve a carbon neutral society: A review," *Environmental Chemistry Letters*, vol. 20, pp. 2277–2310, 2022, doi: 10.1007/s10311-022-01435-8.
- [2] S. Fankhauser, S. M. Smith, M. Allen, K. Axelsson, T. Hale, C. Hepburn, J. M. Kendall, R. Khosla, J. Lezaun, E. M. Larson, M. Obersteiner, L. Rajamani, R. Rickaby, N. Seddon, and T. Wetzler, "The meaning of net zero and how to get it right," *Nature Climate Change*, vol. 12, pp. 15–21, 2022, doi: 10.1038/s41558-021-01245-w.
- [3] J. Rogelj, O. Geden, A. Cowie, and A. Reisinger, "Three ways to improve net-zero emissions targets," *Nature*, vol. 591 pp. 365–368, 2021, doi: 10.1038/d41586-021-00662-3.
- [4] Masson-Delmotte, P. Zhai, H.-O. Pörtner, D. Roberts, J. Skea, P. R. Shukla, A. Pirani, W. Moufouma-Okia, C. Péan, R. Pidcock, S. Connors, J. B. R. Matthews, Y. Chen, X. Zhou, M. I. Gomis, E. Lonnoy, T. Maycock, M. Tignor, and T. Waterfield, in *Global Warming of 1.5 °C, An IPCC Special Report on the Impacts of Global Warming of 1.5 °C above Pre-Industrial Levels and Related Global Greenhouse Gas Emission Pathways, in the Context of Strengthening the Global Response to the Threat of Climate Change, Sustainable Development, and Efforts to Eradicate Poverty*, Geneva, Switzerland: Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC), 2018.
- [5] United Nations, "United Nations framework convention on climate change," GE.05-62220 (E) 200705, 1992.
- [6] J. Houghton. "Global warming: A Global Emergency." cis.org.uk. <https://www.cis.org.uk/ireland/global-warming-2008/J-Houghton-16-Feb-2008.pdf> (accessed Nov. 18, 2024).
- [7] United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC), "Kyoto Protocol to the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change," in *Kyoto Climate Change Conference*, 1997.
- [8] A. J. Hoffman, "Climate change strategy: The business logic behind voluntary greenhouse gas reductions," *California Management Review*, vol. 47, no. 3, pp. 21–46, 2005, doi: 10.2307/41166305.
- [9] P. Ceppi. "The Carbon Trust three stage approach to developing a robust offsetting strategy." sustainabilityexchange.ac.uk. https://www.sustainabilityexchange.ac.uk/files/ct_offset_strategies.pdf (accessed Nov. 18, 2024).
- [10] Carbon Trust, Defra, British Standards. "Specification for the assessment of the life cycle greenhouse gas emissions of goods and services." carbonconstruct.com. http://www.carbonconstruct.com/pdf/pas_2050.pdf (accessed Nov. 18, 2024).
- [11] R. Falkner, "The Paris Agreement and the new logic of international climate politics," *International Affairs*, vol. 92, pp. 1107–1125, 2016, doi: 10.1111/1468-2346.12708.



- [12] World Resources Institute and World Business Council for Sustainable Development. "A Corporate Accounting and Reporting Standard." ghgprotocol.org. <https://ghgprotocol.org/sites/default/files/standards/ghg-protocol-revised.pdf> (accessed Nov. 18, 2024).
- [13] S. Teske, *Achieving the Paris Climate Agreement Goals*, 2nd ed. Switzerland: Springer, 2022, doi: 10.1007/978-3-030-99177-7.
- [14] International Energy Agency. "Net Zero by 2050: A roadmap for the global energy sector." iea.org. <https://www.iea.org/reports/net-zero-by-2050> (accessed Nov. 18, 2024).
- [15] N. Topping, G. Munoz, Boston Consulting Group, and K. Schwab. "Net-Zero Challenge: The supply chain opportunity." weforum.org. https://www3.weforum.org/docs/WEF_Net_Zero_Challenge_The_Supply_Chain_Opportunity_2021.pdf (accessed Nov. 18, 2024).
- [16] International Labour Organization. "Working on a Warmer Planet: The impact of heat stress on labour productivity and decent work." ilo.org. https://www.ilo.org/sites/default/files/wcmsp5/groups/public/%40dgreports/%40dcomm/%40publ/documents/publication/wcms_711919.pdf (accessed Nov. 18, 2024).
- [17] International Labour Organization. "World Employment and Social Outlook 2018: Greening with Jobs." ilo.org. https://www.ilo.org/sites/default/files/wcmsp5/groups/public/%40dgreports/%40dcomm/%40publ/documents/publication/wcms_628654.pdf (accessed Nov. 18, 2024).
- [18] C. Bellora and L. Fontagné, "EU in search of a Carbon Border Adjustment Mechanism," *Energy Economics*, vol. 123, p. 10663, 2023, doi: 10.1016/j.eneco.2023.106673.
- [19] J. Zhong and J. Pei, "Carbon border adjustment mechanism: A systematic literature review of the latest developments," *Climate Policy*, vol. 24, no. 2, pp. 228–242, 2024, doi: 10.1080/14693062.2023.2190074.
- [20] International Energy Agency. "The challenge of reaching zero emissions in heavy industry." iea.org. <https://www.iea.org/articles/the-challenge-of-reaching-zero-emissions-in-heavy-industry> (accessed Nov. 18, 2024).
- [21] World Bank. "State and Trends of Carbon Pricing." hdl.handle.net. <http://hdl.handle.net/10986/37455> (accessed Nov. 18, 2024).
- [22] M. Barrow, B. Buckley, T. Caldicott, T. Cumberlege, J. Hsu, S. Kaufman, K. Ramm, C. Cummis, L. Draucker, S. Khan, J. Ranganathan, and M. Sotos. "Technical guidance for calculating Scope 3 emissions." ghgprotocol.org. https://ghgprotocol.org/sites/default/files/2023-03/Scope3_Calculation_Guidance_0%5B1%5D.pdf (accessed Nov. 18, 2024).
- [23] Bank for International Settlements. "Scaling climate action: Unleashing innovative technologies in sustainable finance." bis.org. https://www.bis.org/innovation_hub/projects/2023_cop28_techsprint.pdf (accessed Nov. 18, 2024).
- [24] C. Fetting. "The European Green Deal." esdn.eu. https://www.esdn.eu/fileadmin/ESDN_Reports/ESDN_Report_2_2020.pdf (accessed Nov. 18, 2024).
- [25] Climate Champions. "Race to Zero." climatechampions.unfccc.int. <https://climatechampions.unfccc.int/system/race-to-zero/> (accessed Nov. 18, 2024).
- [26] Glasgow Financial Alliance for Net Zero. "Scaling transition finance and real-economy decarbonization." assets.bbhub.io. <https://assets.bbhub.io/company/sites/63/2023/11/Transition-Finance-and-Real-Economy-Decarbonization-December-2023.pdf> (accessed Nov. 18, 2024).
- [27] M. Sriariyanun, M. P. Gundupalli, V. Phakeenuya, T. Phusantisampan, Y. S. Cheng, P. Venkatachalam, "Biorefinery approaches for production of cellulosic ethanol fuel using recombinant engineered microorganisms," *Applied Science and Engineering*, vol. 27, no. 2, pp. 1985–2005, 2024, doi: 10.6180/jase.202402_27(2).0001.
- [28] S. Areeya, E. J. Panakkal, P. Kunmanee, A. Tawai, S. Amornraksa, M. Sriariyanun, A. Kaoloun, N. Hartini, Y. S. Cheng, M. Kchaou, S. Dasari, and M. P. Gundupalli, "A review of sugarcane biorefinery: From waste to value-added products" *Applied Science and Engineering Progress*, vol. 17, no. 3, 2024, Art. no. 7402, doi: 10.14416/j.asep.2024.06.004.
- [29] I. Raheem, A. Tawai, S. Amornraksa, M. Sriariyanun, A. Joshi, M. Gupta, W. Pongprayoon, D. Bhattacharyya, and S. Kumar Maity, "A comprehensive review of approaches in carbon capture, and utilization to reduce greenhouse gases" *Applied Science and Engineering Progress*, vol. 18, no. 2, Art. no. 7629, 2024, doi: 10.14416/j.asep.2024.11.004.
- [30] Energy Transitions Commission. "Mission possible: Reaching net-zero carbon emissions from harder-to-abate sectors." energy-transitions.org. <https://www.energy-transitions.org/wp-content/>

- uploads/2020/08/ETC_MissionPossible_FullReport.pdf (accessed Nov. 18, 2024).
- [31] A. Kumar, A. K. Tiwari, and D. Milani, “Decarbonizing hard-to-abate heavy industries: Current status and pathways towards net-zero future,” *Process Safety and Environmental Protection*, vol. 187, pp. 408–430, 2024, doi: 10.1016/j.psep.2024.04.107.
- [32] L. C. Vieira, M. Longo, and M. Mura. “Impact pathways: The hidden challenges of Scope 3 emissions measurement and management.” emerald.com. <https://www.emerald.com/insight/content/doi/10.1108/ijopm-01-2024-0049/full/pdf?title=impact-pathways-the-hidden-challenges-of-scope-3-emissions-measurement-and-management> (accessed Nov. 18, 2024).



Debraj Bhattacharyya



Atthasit Tawai



Malinee Sririyanun
Editorial Board